

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,819	07/30/2003	Takeshi Watanabe	R2184.0245/P245	7294
<sup>24998</sup> DICKSTEIN S	7590 10/30/2007		EXAMINER	
1825 EYE STREET NW			GUPTA, PARUL H	
Washington, D	OC 20006-5403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	:
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

4

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/629,819	WATANABE, TAKESHI	WATANABE, TAKESHI		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Parul Gupta	2627			

	Parul Gupta	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply n	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori r than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b DTE below);	ecause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	tter form for appeal by materially r		the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	it before or on the date of filing a t d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> wit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appoy y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u></li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	hed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	/	2
13.  Other:	$\sqrt{}$	Min Korsul	-
	•	WILLIAM KORZUCH	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant contends that the given references do not teach comparing a source optical disc to a target optical disc nor determining if the the target optical disc is qualified as the backup disc of the hybrid type source based on this comparison. The examiner disagrees. By determining if the drives are compatible, Tatano teaches a type of comparison. This is used to determine if the backup can be made, similarly to the present invention. Also, Fairman teaches making a copy of a master disc, which is a type of backup for a disc.